Acute pain outcomes after



surgery for localised prostate cancer



M. Botti,¹ H. Crowe, ² L. Beale, ² M. Lee, ² for the Patient Outcomes after Prostate Surgery Investigators³

Introduction

VICTORIA

Australian

Prostate Cancer

Research Centre

In Australia each year, 12,000 men are diagnosed with prostate cancer and many elect to have surgery. In a climate of rapidly changing surgical technology, advances include minimally invasive surgery where it is expected that men will experience less postoperative pain and earlier recovery than those who have open surgery.

A prospective, longitudinal, matched cohort design was used to evaluate and compare recovery of a consecutive sample of men over 24-months following open radical retropubic (RRP), robotic-assisted radical (RARP) and laparoscopic radical prostatectomy (LRP) for localised prostatic cancer. The analyses presented in this poster represent the acute pain outcomes for men undergoing RRP and RARP.

Aim

To describe and compare acute pain outcomes (first 24 hours) of men during the acute recovery period immediately after two surgical approaches used for prostatectomy to treat localised prostatic cancer: open radical retropubic (RRP), robotic-assisted radical (RARP).

Materials and Method

Patient recruitment commenced in 2009. The full study procedure is illustrated in Figure 1.

Acute pain outcomes measurement :

- American Pain Society Pain Outcomes
- The McGill-Melzack Pain Questionnaire
- Pain intensity scores were derived via a 0-10 point numerical rating scale (NRS)

Procedures

Measures were applied via interview 24 hours after surgery **Recruitment**

• 87% of consecutive, prostatectomy patients under the care of 13 surgeons were successfully recruited into the project

Sample

Analyses were conducted for two subgroups of men undergoing RRP (n=50) and RARP (n=50), randomly selected from the population of participating men (N=300).

Conclusions

Acute postoperative pain following surgery for localised prostate cancer is prevalent after surgery.

Differences in the way pain manifests in patients who undergo RARP and RRP have implications for the way men are prepared for surgery and how pain is managed.

Results

Pain intensity

•229 (76.3%) of the 300 patients recruited had experienced pain in the previous 24 hours. (see Table 1)

Table 1. Pain intensity

Table 211 and miteriorey			
Pain Intensity (N=300)	RRP	RARP	
Experienced pain in previous 24 hours	79.3%	73.3%	p<0.05
Mean pain score (at interview)	2.6 (SD=2.6)	2.9 (SD=1.8)	p=.965
Worst pain in previous 24 hours	5.8 (SD=2.9)	5.9 (SD=2.6)	p=.167
Average pain in previous 24 hours	2.9 (SD=1.7)	3.4 (SD=1.8)	p=.014

Type of Pain

 There were differences in the type of pain experienced by men in the 2 cohorts in the immediate postoperative period (see Table 2)

Table 2. Type of Pain

Pain (N=100)	RRP (n=50)	RARP (n=50)	
Puncture/incisional	100%	77.6%	p=.001
Bladder spasm	2.4%	12.2%	p=.088
Catheter irritation	14.6%	24.5%	p=.185
Wind pain	4.9%	36.7%	p<.001
Referred shoulder pain	0	34.7%	p<.001
Perineal discomfort	2.4%	6.1%	p=.379

Pain locations

• The locations of pain differed between the 2 patient cohorts.(see Table 3)

Table 3. Pain Locations

Pain location (N=100)	RRP (n=50)	RARP (n=50)	
General abdominal	0	81.6%	p<.001
Lower abdominal	97.6%	22.4%	p<.001
Penile tip	4.9%	22.4%	P=.017
Wound/s	75.6%	10.2%	p<.001
Shoulder tip	0	34.7%	p<.001
Perineum	2.4%	6.1%	p=.379

Quality of Pain

 No differences in the sensory, affective or evaluative aspects of pain were detected between the 2 patient cohorts.

Figure 1. Study procedure & measures

- Info. package sent by mail
- Telephone contact verbal consent

Invitation by Urologist

Preadmission

- Health-related quality of life
- Sexual function
- Continence
- Medical records review
- PSA, Gleason Grade, T stage
- Patient interviews (POD1)
- Pain, Mobility & Function
- Complications

Intra-operative and Acute Recovery

Intermediate (7 days, 4 weeks)

- USPRS postal survey (HRQoL, Sexual function, Continence)
- Pain, wound healing, complications, mobility
- Patient Held Record (catheter removal, consultation with health professionals, complications)
- USPRS postal survey -HRQoL
- SHIM, EPIC
- Decisional regret
- PSA at 12 months

Long-term trajectory (3, 6, 12, 18, 24 months)

¹Centre for Clinical Nursing Research. Epworth HealthCare/Deakin University, 89 Bridge Road Richmond, Vic. 3121, Australia.

² Epworth healthcare, 89 Bridge road, Richmond Vic. 3121

³The Patient Outcomes after Prostate Surgery Investigators
Ms Libby Beale Ms Simone Balson Ms Judy Brio

Mr Chris Chang
A/Prof Mark Frydenberg
Mr Sean McGuigan
Dr Bernice Redley

Ms Simone Balson Ms Judy Briody
Ms Donna Cowan Prof Tony Costello
Ms Lynda Hardy Mr Paul Kearns
Mr Daniel Moon Mr Jason Ooi
Ms Kay Talbot Ms Rosemary Wat

Mr Paul Cathcart

Prof Tony Costello Ms Helen Crowe

Mr Paul Kearns Mr Dennis King

Mr Jason Ooi Mr Justin Peters

Ms Rosemary Watson Dr Addie Wooten

Mr Paul Cathcart Mr Yee Chan

Ms Helen Crowe Mr Scott Donnellan

Mr Dennis King Mr John Kourambas

Mr Justin Peters Mr Peter Royce