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Preface

The European Association of Urology Nurses

The European Association of Urology Nurses (EAUN) was established in 2000 to represent the interests of European urological nurses. The EAUN’s underlying goal is to foster the highest standards of urological nursing care throughout Europe. With administrative, financial and advisory support from the European Association of Urology (EAU), the EAUN also encourages research and aspires to develop European standards for education and accreditation for urology nurses. Improving standards of urological nursing care has been top of the agenda, with the aim of directly helping our members develop or update their expertise.

This update of our evidence-based guidelines on Transrectal Ultrasound Guided Biopsy of the Prostate (2015) aims to provide a standard and reliable protocol for the procedure, based upon a comprehensive review of the published literature, and includes recommendations clearly stating the level of evidence.

Local policies

We believe that excellent health care goes beyond geographical boundaries. This document is intended to support good clinical practice and should only be used in conjunction with local policies and protocols, and following assessment of the needs of the individual patient.

Distribution

This text is made available to all individual EAUN members electronically. The full text can be accessed and downloaded from the EAUN website at no cost (www.eaun.uroweb.org).
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1. Introduction

Topic
Transrectal ultrasound (TRUS)-guided prostate biopsy remains the gold standard for diagnosing prostate cancer, by which tissue samples of the prostate are obtained for histological analysis (http://www.erspc.org/prostate-cancer/erspc-background/). Ultrasound-guided biopsy is now sometimes combined with MRI to characterise suspicious lesions in the prostate. An increasing number of nurses now undertake this procedure independently. The role of nursing continues to progress and to cross professional boundaries.

Aim
The aim of these guidelines is to assist in the professional development of nurses carrying out TRUS biopsy, while ensuring patient safety, dignity and comfort, and the delivery of the highest quality patient care. [1] These guidelines provide a benchmark against which the individual can be measured and their competence assessed. It may also inform those practitioners not directly involved in the procedure as they support patients.

Intended users
These guidelines are intended to complement and support clinical practice of new practitioners and those seeking updates on best practice. The intended readership is specialist urology nurses and other health care professionals working in urology or prostate cancer diagnostics. It is acknowledged that there is wide variation in nursing titles: for the purpose of this document, the term specialist nurse is used to mean nurses undertaking this advanced practice role. It is acknowledged that throughout Europe nurses have different levels of involvement with the biopsy procedure.

Inclusions
These guidelines include anatomy and physiology of the prostate, aetiology of prostate cancer, steps to undertake the biopsy procedure, pre-biopsy considerations, post-biopsy complications, and knowledge and understanding required by health care professionals to carry out the procedure.

Limitations
These guidelines are limited to transrectal ultrasound-guided biopsy and do not include ultrasound-guided transperineal biopsy and other imaging technologies (e.g. magnetic resonance imaging; MRI) used for prostate cancer diagnosis, although the working group recognises that these approaches are becoming more widely used.

These guidelines should be used within the context of local policies and existing protocols.

Rationale
In the European Union prostate cancer is currently the most frequently diagnosed cancer among men. [2] This has led to an increased demand for TRUS-guided prostate biopsy for prostate cancer diagnosis.
Workforce
An ongoing workforce problem continues in Europe where the medical profession remains under constant pressure to deliver high-quality clinical services in a timely and cost-effective manner. This requires nurse specialists to perform routine diagnostic services as a solution. To accelerate the prostate cancer patient journey, an increasing number of nurses working within the specialty are now performing prostate biopsies independently. Nurse-led prostate biopsy has highlighted improved access, reduced patient waiting time, and an enhanced patient journey with continuity of care. [3]

Skills and development
The ability to undertake prostate biopsy competently and safely is a developmental process and is only expected of specialist health care professionals, such as specialist nurses, physician assistants, or urologists, who are technically skilled as well as rational decision makers. The practitioner should:

- hold an expert understanding of the prostate cancer patient journey, including the risks, benefits, complications and disadvantages of undertaking prostate biopsy;
- have a comprehensive understanding of the anatomy and physiology of the male urinary system, factors that affect prostate-specific antigen (PSA) measurement, and other conditions of the urinary system and their management;
- have an understanding of the role of TRUS and possible ultrasound findings; and
- be familiar with the possible complications of TRUS and their management, and always ensure that senior staff are available should an emergency situation arise.

Supervision from an expert practitioner is necessary during the training period and auditing the procedure performed by nurses to measure clinical outcomes is important to support future accreditation. [3,4] Ultimately, competence for independent practice should be assessed by the senior urologist.

Specialist-nurse-led prostate biopsy allows professional development, as expansion of the role suggests that nurses in this position should be proficient with history taking and physical examination, alongside performing the procedure itself. [5]

All independent practitioners are responsible for their continuing professional development in relation to prostate cancer and prostate biopsy and must work within their own professional code of conduct.

Non-physician versus physician performance (PICO 4)
The Hori (2013) study and several audits have contributed to the evidence that an adequately trained non-physician provider is able to perform TRUS-guided prostate biopsy as effectively as an experienced urologist after an initial learning curve. To reach a level of competence, at least 50 biopsy procedures are needed. [5–9]
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Recommendations</th>
<th>LE</th>
<th>GR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Health care professionals undertaking prostate biopsies should be trained by a</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>competent practitioner</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health care professionals undertaking prostate biopsies should be trained in</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>physical assessment including digital rectal examination (DRE)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The training for undertaking prostate biopsies should include well-</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>structured didactics, hands-on training, and monitoring by a supervisor, and</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>must be recorded in an individual portfolio.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>It is recommended that trainees perform at least 50 biopsies with supervision</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>before being signed off as competent</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Direct supervision should be undertaken until the health care professionals are</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>deemed competent to undertake the procedure independently</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Final competence should be assessed and signed by a senior urologist and should</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>be reviewed every 5 years</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health care professionals are required to remain up to date with the latest</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>advances in the field of which they should be a member of a professional</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>organisation and follow continuing education</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2. Methodology

2.1 Guideline working group

The guidelines working group consisted of a multi-professional group of specialist nurses, and a medical colleague. Information about the authors can be found on page 56.

2.2 Literature search

The scientific basis of the information offered in these guidelines was obtained through a systematic literature search. All group members participated in the critical assessment of the scientific papers identified.

A search of the medical literature was conducted by Yuhong (Cathy) Yuan, Research Associate at McMaster University, Hamilton, Ontario, Canada. The initial search was conducted in July 2016 and repeated in November 2017 in the following databases:
- Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials
- Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews
- Embase
- Epub Ahead of Print
- Ovid Medline(R) Daily and Ovid Medline(R)

Both medical subject headings (MeSH) and free-text terms, as well as variations of root words, were searched. The search was based on the keywords listed below.

2.3 Limitations of the search

PICO questions describe the four elements of a good clinical question, namely patient/problem, intervention, comparison, and outcome. For this guideline four PICO questions were defined (see 2.4), and the studies resulting from the search that seemed relevant for each question were evaluated.

The search results were not limited to randomised controlled trials (RCTs), controlled trials, meta-analyses or systematic reviews. In all databases, output was limited to human studies, adults aged >19 years, and English-language publications. The initial search was limited to 1 January 2010 until 23 July 2016, and the repeat search to 23 July 2016 until 28 November 2017.

Conference abstracts, editorial letters and case reports were excluded during the search.

2.4 PICO questions

PICO 1

*In TRUS-guided prostate biopsy should the number of biopsy cores to find initial prostate cancer, independent of prostate volume, be between 10 and 12 cores, or more or less?*
PICO 2

Is there any evidence that concomitant use of oral anti-coagulants influences the rate of bleeding complications in patients who undergo TRUS-guided prostate biopsy?

Is there any evidence that discontinuation of novel oral anti-coagulants influences the rate of bleeding complication in patients who undergo TRUS-guided prostate biopsy?

PICO 3

Is there any evidence that giving patient information before undergoing TRUS-guided prostate biopsy improves quality of life and patient experience, and reduces physical or psychological side effects?

Is there any evidence that giving information to patients after undergoing TRUS-guided prostate biopsy improves quality of life and patient experience, and reduces physical or psychological side effects?

PICO 4

Is there any evidence of an effect on quality, safety, outcome, follow-up or patient satisfaction of a nurse (specialist, practitioner, oncology) or physician assistant performing TRUS-guided prostate biopsy compared to a urologist or trainee performing TRUS-guided prostate biopsy.

2.5 Search keywords

The reference search included the following keywords:

- TRUS
- ultrasound
- prostate
- biopsy
- not: cancer related terms
2.6 Search results

Flowchart 1. Literature search “TRUS prostate biopsy”

Numbers of records identified in search and update search
PICO 1: prostate biopsy and ultrasound, remove cancer terms; n = 3261 (2393 + 868)
PICO 2 = PICO 1 AND anti-coagulants; or anti-coagulants + urologist; n = 342 (280+ 62) (of them, 36 already captured by PICO 1).
PICO 3 = PICO 1 AND education = 143 (107+36) (all included in PICO 1);
PICO 4 = PICO 1 AND nurse: n = 16 (12+4) (all included in PICO 2);
CINAHL revised: n = 109 (88+21) (same search as for PICO 1 or anti-coagulants + urologist in Ovid).

It was a policy decision to restrict the search in the way described. Adding more keywords would have resulted in missing studies. In the process of working on the guidelines, some new references were found and added to the reference list, if they were relevant to the topic and cited in the text.

Screening and data extraction of the papers
Two panel members screened each abstract and two screened each full-text paper in Covidence. The most relevant studies were extracted. For PICO 2, 3 and 4 there were few relevant studies. For PICO 1 31 papers were extracted, and for Complications 67 papers were extracted. All extractions were performed in an Excel sheet. Systematic reviews were not extracted but reviewed separately during the writing process.
2.7 Exclusion criteria when selecting the abstracts

- transperineal prostate biopsy
- MRI
- saturation
- abstract
- studies written in a language other than English
- duplicates
- guidelines

2.8 Disclosures

All members of the EAUN guidelines working group have provided disclosure statements of all relationships that might be a potential source of conflict of interest. The information has been stored in the EAU(N) database.
The EAUN is a not-for-profit organisation and with the exception of administrative assistance, travel and meeting expenses, no honoraria or other reimbursements have been provided. There was no external financial funding.

2.9 Limitations of document

The EAUN acknowledges and accepts the limitations of this document. Guidelines provide a standardised approach to patient care and management and practitioners must tailor care towards individual patients. The aim of guidelines is to help health care professionals to make informed decisions about their patients. Adherence to guidelines does not guarantee a successful outcome. Ultimately, health care professionals must make their own decisions about care on a case-by-case basis, using their clinical judgement, knowledge and expertise, and after consultation with their patients. Therefore these guidelines provide recommendations without legal implications.

Cost-effectiveness considerations and non-clinical questions are best addressed locally and therefore fall outside the remit of these guidelines. Other stakeholders, including patient representatives, have not been involved in producing this document.

When high-quality publications were lacking, the recommendations were based on expert reports or expert consensus. This is clearly indicated in the document.

2.10 Review process

Prior to publication, blinded review was carried out by 11 reviewers, including nurse specialists, two patients, an oncologist, an oncological pathologist and a urologist. After discussion of all comments received, appropriate revisions were made by the Working Group and the document was approved by the EAUN Board and the EAU Executive Board member responsible for EAUN activities.
2.11 Rating system

The recommendations provided in this document are based on a rating system modified from that produced by the Oxford Centre for Evidence-based Medicine (OCBM) in 2011. [10].

Whenever possible, the Working Group graded treatment recommendations using a three-grade system (grade of recommendation; GR A–C) and inserted levels of evidence (LEs) to help readers assess the validity of the statements made. The aim of this practice is to ensure a clear transparency between the underlying evidence and the recommendations given. This system is further described in Tables 1 and 2.

Some of the literature was not easy to grade. However, if the EAUN Working Group thought that the information would be useful in practice, it was ranked as LE 4 and GR C. Low-level evidence indicated that no higher level evidence was found in the literature when writing the guidelines, but cannot be regarded as an indication of the importance of the topic or recommendation for daily practice.

The literature used in these guidelines included one qualitative study, but no recommendation was made based on this study.

The recommendations in these guidelines are based on a synthesis of evidence from the articles.

The Working Group aims to develop guidelines for evidence-based nursing, as defined by Behrens (2004) [11]: “Integration of the latest, highest level scientific research into the daily nursing practice, with regard to theoretical knowledge, nursing experience, the ideas of the patient and available resources”. The Working Group based the text on evidence whenever possible, but if evidence were missing, it was based on best practice.

Four components that influence nursing decisions can be distinguished: personal clinical experience of the nurse, existing resources, patient wishes and ideas, and results of nursing science. [11] This statement implies that, although literature is important, the experience of nurses and patients is also necessary for decision making. Consequently, it is not only the written guidelines that are relevant for nursing practice.

Table 1. Level of evidence (LE)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Level</th>
<th>Type of evidence</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1a</td>
<td>Evidence obtained from meta-analysis of randomised trials</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1b</td>
<td>Evidence obtained from at least one randomised trial</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2a</td>
<td>Evidence obtained from one well-designed controlled study without randomisation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2b</td>
<td>Evidence obtained from at least one other type of well-designed quasi-experimental study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Evidence obtained from well-designed non-experimental studies, such as comparative studies, correlation studies and case reports</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Evidence obtained from expert committee reports or opinions or clinical experience of respected authorities</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Adapted from the Oxford Centre for Evidence-Based Medicine (OCBM) [10]
Table 2. Grade of recommendation (GR)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade</th>
<th>Nature of recommendations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>Based on clinical studies of good quality and consistency addressing the specific recommendations and including at least one randomised trial</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>Based on well-conducted clinical studies, but without randomised clinical trials</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>Made despite the absence of directly applicable clinical studies of good quality</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Adapted from the Oxford Centre for Evidence-Based Medicine (OCBM) [10]*
3. Terminology

3.1 Prostate

Accessory male reproductive gland; produces a third of seminal volume including fluids that activate sperm.

3.2 Seminal vesicles

Coiled glands that secrete a significant proportion of fluid that ultimately becomes semen.

3.3 Transrectal ultrasound

An ultrasound technique whereby an ultrasound probe is inserted into the rectum.

3.4 Digital rectal examination

Examination of the anus, lower rectum and prostate with the index finger.

3.5 Prostate-specific antigen

PSA is a glycopeptide that is produced exclusively in the prostate gland and secreted in the ejaculate, where its concentration is 106 times greater than in serum.

3.6 Prostate biopsy

A procedure whereby prostatic tissue is obtained for histological evaluation. Usually guided by TRUS.

3.7 Gleason score

Summation of the most prevalent and the worst Gleason grade, or if only one grade present its doubling, determining the aggressiveness of the prostate cancer.
3.8  **ISUP 2014 Grade**

Simplified five-grade group system based on the Gleason score that gives more understandable grade stratification. [12]

3.9  **Specialist nurse**

A nurse working in a specialist area, often at an advanced level with advanced practice qualifications.
4. Prostate anatomy

There is a requirement to be intimately familiar with the gross and glandular anatomy of the prostate as well as its ultrasound appearance (Fig. 2, 3, 4, 5).

4.1 Gross anatomy

In the post-pubescent man the prostate gland has a volume of up to 25 ml, being approximately 3.5 cm long, 4.0 cm wide and 2.5 cm deep from posterior to anterior, which is about the same size as a walnut.

The prostate is an extraperitoneal structure, lying anterior to the rectum and at the bladder neck. The prostate encircles the urethra and it empties its secretions into the urethra. It comprises a number of smaller glands that are surrounded by smooth muscle and connective tissue. During ejaculation the smooth muscle contracts and compresses the glands, forcing secretions into the urethra. Prostatic secretions contain several enzymes including PSA that help to liquefy semen by breaking down coagulation factors plus citrate, which the sperm uses for energy.

Between the gland and the rectum lies Denonvilliers’ fascia – an obliterated peritoneal plane or a potential space. The prostate shape conforms to the anatomical limitations of the deep pelvic boundaries, and it looks like an inverted cone or pyramid. On either side are the levator ani and obturator internus muscles. The base of the inverted cone lies against the bladder and the apex on the urogenital diaphragm; a fibrous supporting ring that also contains the urethra. The gland is surrounded by the prostate (pseudo) capsule.

Fig. 2 Gross anatomy – male reproductive and urinary system
[Source: unknown]
4.2 Zonal anatomy

Three glandular regions can be identified in the prostate: central, peripheral and transition zones. There is a further non-glandular area called the anterior fibromuscular stroma.

The peripheral zone accounts for 75% of the prostate tissue in young men but the transition zone increases in size with ageing due to benign prostate enlargement (BPE), while the central zone atrophies and the peripheral zone stays static. Thus, for clinical purposes the important regions are the peripheral and transition zones.

It is the peripheral zone in which the majority of prostate cancers occur, whereas BPE arises in the transition zone.
4.3 Vascular anatomy

The prostate has a rich arterial blood supply. The prostate artery is a branch from the inferior vesical artery (Fig. 6), a branch of the internal iliac artery, which divides into capsular and urethral arteries. Branches of the inferior vesical artery supply the seminal vesicles and occasionally the base of the gland. Santorini’s venous plexus lies anteriorly and has small perforating vessels into the prostate. The neurovascular bundles lie postero-laterally at 5 and 7 o’clock and contain the branch arteries, veins and nerves that go to the penis and urethral sphincter.
4.4 Prostatic urethra

The prostatic urethra runs through the prostate from the base of the bladder to the apex of the prostate. It is a midline structure unless there is asymmetric glandular enlargement. There is a triangulated portion at the verumontanum where the ejaculatory ducts drain into the urethra. There is a variable amount of smooth muscle around the urethra and this, with the urogenital margin, accounts for its visibility on ultrasound in the collapsed state.

Fig. 7. Ultrasound of the gross anatomy of the prostate demonstrated on TRUS
(Courtesy: S. Hieronymi)

4.5 Seminal vesicles and ejaculatory ducts

The seminal vesicles are paired sac-like structures of variable size and shape and lie just posterior and superior to the base of the prostate. A minor degree of asymmetry is common. The paired ejaculatory ducts formed by the union of the vas deferens and the seminal vesicles run through the prostate in the central zone. They communicate with the prostatic urethra at the verumontanum. [13–15] See Fig. 7, section 4.4.

4.6 Prostate cancer, grading and staging

The incidence of prostate cancer varies considerably internationally with the lowest incidence in Southeast Asia and highest in Australia/New Zealand and Northern Europe. The age-standardised incidence rates range from around 5.0 per 100,000 in Asia to 86.4 per 100,000 in Australia/New Zealand. [16] In any one population the incidence is higher in men of Afro-Caribbean heritage than Caucasians. [17] Across the world mortality rate varies from about 3.3 to 10.2 per 100,000. [16] Worldwide, prostate cancer is among the most common cancers, with an estimated 1,276,106 new cases and 358,983 deaths in 2018. [18] The incidence of prostate cancer has increased significantly over the past two decades; almost exponentially in some areas. [19–21] This increased incidence is explained by the introduction of the PSA blood test [22,23] and an ever-ageing population.
Autopsy studies have shown that the prevalence of prostate cancer increases sharply with age, and foci of prostate cancer can be detected in up to 70–80% of 80-year-old men who have died of other causes. [24–26] In contrast to the rate of clinical or biopsy-detectable prostate cancer, there was no significant international difference in the incidence of prostate cancer in autopsy studies. [24,25] International variation in the incidence of prostate cancer, and the fact that incidence increases in the first and second generations of migrants from low- to high-risk areas, indicate that the manifestation of clinically significant prostate cancer depends on exogenous factors. [27–29]

**Grading**

The original prostate cancer grading system was developed in 1966–1974 by Donald Gleason. [30,31] Since then, several consensus meetings have helped to improve and update the histological interpretation of prostate cancer. [32,33] The latest World Health Organization classification was released in 2016. [33]

The Gleason grading system is based on the architectural pattern of the tumour, and the grade is defined as the sum of the two most common grade patterns (Gleason score; GS). [30,31,34] The primary predominant and secondary (second most prevalent) architectural patterns are assigned a number from 1 (most differentiated) to 5 (least differentiated). It is now recognised that, in most circumstances, Gleason grade (GG) should only be given from 3 to 5. GG 1 is ultimately a benign feature, and was mistaken for tumour tissue before the era of immunohistochemistry. GG 2 should not be given to prostate biopsy specimens. [32] Therefore, if only GG 3 is seen on the biopsy, the tumour has to be reported as 6 (3+3). In case of mixed features of GG 3 and 4, the predominant amount will be placed first as either (3+4) or (4+3). However, as the presence of even a small focus of GG 5 pattern tumour may be prognostic, in the case of a tumour with a large amount of GG 3 and a significant amount of GG 4 but only 5% GG 5, the cancer should be reported as (3+5). Each biopsy is to be reported separately and it appears that the highest GS is what drives prognosis even if this is only found in a single biopsy.

Consequently, this has resulted in an odd situation in which biopsy GS ranges from 6 to 10. This has been resolved with the introduction of the Gleason Grade Groups (GGGs). These grade groups are numbered from 1 to 5 with GS 6 (3+3) as the lowest and least aggressive group (GGG 1). This is especially important for GS 7 (3+4) and 7 (4+3). These two settings have a different outcome, and the new GGG system allows one to make a clear distinction between GGG 2 [7 (3+4)] and GGG 3 [7 (4+3)] [12,35,36]. The new system is also known as ISUP Grade Groups after the consensus conference in 2014 where they were agreed.

**Table 3. ISUP Grade Groups**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gleason score</th>
<th>ISUP Grade group</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6 (3+3)</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 (3+4)</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 (4+3)</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 (4+4); 8 (3+5); 8 (5+3)</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9 (4+5); 9 (5+4); 10 (5+5)</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Staging

Table 4. Tumour Node Metastasis (TNM) classification of PCa [52]

Adapted from Sobin (2009). [37]
5. Patient assessment and preparation

5.1 Indications

The need for an initial prostate biopsy is based on PSA level, familial risk, and suspicious DRE or imaging. Age, potential comorbidity, and therapeutic consequences should also be considered and discussed beforehand. A single limited PSA elevation alone should not prompt immediate biopsy. PSA level should be verified after a few weeks using the same assay under standardised conditions (i.e., no ejaculation, manipulations, and urinary tract infections) in the same laboratory. [38,39]

Despite this, for men with PSA 4–10 ng/ml, the chance of finding cancer is ~25% but many of these tumours are insignificant. Risk stratification is a potential tool for reducing unnecessary biopsies. [40] Risk calculators may be useful in helping to determine (on an individual basis) what the potential risk of cancer may be. Several tools developed from cohort studies are available from:

- the Prostate Cancer Prevention Trial Risk Calculator Version 2.0 (PCPTRC 2.0) http://myprostatecancerrisk.com/;
- the European Randomized Study of Screening for Prostate Cancer: http://www.prostatecancer-riskcalculator.com/seven-prostate-cancer-risk-calculators

An updated version was presented in 2017, including prediction of low and high risk, based on the International Society of Urological Pathology (ISUP) grading system and presence of cribriform growth in histology. [41]

Prior imaging with MRI for detecting prostate cancer remains controversial but evidence from the PROMIS and PRECISION studies [42,43] has suggested that upfront multi-parametric MRI (mpMRI) with targeted biopsy of abnormal lesions is significantly better for detecting clinically important prostate cancer compared to TRUS biopsies, and reduces the number of insignificant cancers detected.

Prostate biopsy can be performed by either the transrectal or transperineal approach. Xue et al. (2017) have shown that cancer detection rates are comparable between the two approaches, when performed without prior MRI. [44]

The indications for repeat biopsy are:

- rising and/or persistently elevated PSA;
- atypical small acinar proliferation (i.e., atypical glands suspicious for cancer), 31–40% risk [45];
- extensive (multiple biopsy sites, i.e., ≥3) high-grade prostatic intraepithelial neoplasia, 30%. [46]; and
- active surveillance.
Patient information pre-biopsy (PICO 3)

Giving information to patients who are undergoing TRUS biopsy is crucial as it can lower anxiety before and after the procedure. [47] Patients who feel inadequately informed experience more adverse effects than those that are well prepared. [48]

A patient information leaflet (PIL) should be given to inform every patient before TRUS biopsy. Although there are wide variations in the content of the information in different centres/hospitals [49], it is agreed that PILs can play a key role in improving patients’ experience and manage their expectations about:
- significance or rationale of the procedure;
- procedure explanation: number of cores, analgesia, staff who will carry out the procedure;
- potential adverse effects;
- possible complications and duration;
- how to manage complications; and
- hospital contact numbers. [48]

Unfortunately, however, most of the PILs about TRUS biopsy poorly adhere to the guidelines and are difficult for patients to understand. [50] A PIL without any explanation from the health care giver is insufficient. [51]

Increasingly, an important source of information for patients is the Internet, but there is a wide variance in quality of information on the Web about TRUS biopsies. The health care giver should be aware of that and can be a trusted guide for patients to find reliable medical websites for more information. [50,52]

Health care providers should also be aware that written information on prostate cancer (both on websites and in leaflets) often requires a higher level of understanding than that of the average adult and therefore may present a barrier. [50]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Recommendations</th>
<th>LE</th>
<th>GR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Prior to TRUS biopsy health care providers must provide men with an up-to-date, evidence-based and easy-to-understand PIL and must ensure that the information is well understood</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prior to undergoing TRUS biopsy and in addition to the written information, patients should be able to talk with a specialist nurse or clinician</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Pre-investigations and prophylaxis

Patient selection
Appropriate patient selection is important when considering prostate biopsy. Pre-existing comorbidity, medication and infection risk should be assessed both in the context of carrying out the biopsy and considering whether the patient is fit to undergo any treatment if cancer were to be diagnosed. Patients should be questioned to elicit any high-risk behaviour.

Prophylactic antibiotics
Oral or intravenous antibiotics are recommended. Quinolones are the drugs of choice, with ciprofloxacin being superior to ofloxacin. [53] Antimicrobial prophylaxis should be used on the basis of patients’ existing risk factors. In patients with one or more of these risk factors, practitioners should consider utilisation of targeted prophylaxis or augmented antimicrobial therapy. [54–56] When deciding on the choice of antibiotic, dosage and timing, individual risk factors and local policies and pathways should be taken into account including regional and local antibiotic resistance patterns. Increased quinolone resistance is associated with a rise in severe post-biopsy infection. [57,58]

Assessment of the urinary tract
Pre-biopsy assessment of urinary symptoms is important to exclude risk of urinary retention and current/active urinary infection. [59]

Perineal swabs and antimicrobial resistance
The evidence for perineal swabbing prior to prostate biopsy is weak and conflicting. [60–62] The evidence supporting pre-biopsy swabbing appears to depend on the patient group. All of the reviewed publications support rectal swabs in high-risk patient groups, that is: patients at high risk of infection; patients with recurrent or recent urinary tract infection treated with antibiotics (in the last 6 months); patients recently treated with antibiotics; and patients who have recently travelled through Asia. [63]

Urinary flow
The risk of urinary retention after biopsy is considered low in most documented series. Overall, the risk is 0.2–0.8%. [64,65] However, stratifying the potential risk of retention before biopsy is a sensible approach through the use of the International Prostate Symptom Score, flow-rate and post-void residual measurement. Patients at highest risk should be treated with an α-blocker and/or laxatives if there is evidence of chronic constipation. [66,67]

Urinary infection
The evidence for collection of mid-stream specimen urine culture over urinalysis is strong and is documented in the 2016 EAU Guidelines on Urological Infections. [68]
Where evidence of bacteriuria is confirmed prior to urological procedure, exacerbation by prostate biopsy can lead to life-threatening sepsis if untreated. [55,69] Patients with symptomatic urinary infections should be deferred until treated.

If a confirmed infection results in elevated PSA level, the biopsy should be deferred and the (urinary) infection treated, and repeat PSA test may be recommended on discussion with the medical team.

**Recent antibiotic use**
Recent antibiotic use prior to biopsy has also been shown in several studies to increase the rate of infectious complications, mainly due to drug-resistant bacteria. [70] This was most acutely demonstrated in the quinolone-resistant group. [71] The increased infection risk of patients with prior antibiotic use is relevant for a period of 6 months prior to biopsy. [56]

**Recent travel**
Several studies have alluded to the increased risk of infection due to recent travel, which is significantly higher in people that have travelled to Southeast Asia and India. [63]

**Recent hospitalisation**
Hospitalisation within the month preceding biopsy is another known risk factor. [54] Kamdar et al. (2008) further found that 75% of patients who developed post-biopsy bacteraemia were either health care employees or had a relative working in health care and living within their household. [72]

**Diabetes**
Some studies have demonstrated associations between patient comorbidity and infection risk after prostate biopsy. Diabetes in particular confers an increased risk of febrile and infectious complications. [54,73] Practitioners may want to consider delaying prostate biopsy until glycaemic control is adequate; however, there have been no published studies on this measure.

**MRI**
Correlation with radical prostatectomy shows that mpMRI, associated with T2-weighted imaging with at least one functional imaging technique (diffusion weighting, dynamic contrast enhancement, or H1-spectroscopy), has good sensitivity for the detection and localisation of prostate cancer with GS >7. [74]

As a result, mpMRI is increasingly performed prior to biopsy, with incorporation of additional targeted biopsy of suspicious lesions. Such biopsies can be obtained through cognitive guidance, US/MR fusion software, or direct in-bore guidance. Current literature does not show clear superiority of one technique over the others. [75]
It has been shown that MRI-targeted biopsies improve detection of clinically significant prostate cancer in the repeat biopsy setting. [76]

However, single-centre RCTs performed in biopsy-naïve men provided contradictory findings as to whether the addition of MRI-targeted biopsies to systemic biopsies improved the detection of either prostate cancer or clinically significant prostate cancer. [77–79]

The recent multicentre RCT PRECISION study [42] showed that MRI before biopsy and targeted biopsy was superior to standard biopsy alone. The question remains: is the combination of MRI-targeted biopsy plus systemic biopsy better than targeted biopsy alone.

Rectal preparation
Since the bacteria responsible for prostate-biopsy-related infection primarily originates in the rectum, various rectal agents have been evaluated for their ability to reduce bacterial load and risk of infection.

Enemas: pre-biopsy enema has demonstrated limited benefit in reducing post-biopsy infection rate [80], with one study suggesting that enemas cause local rectal mucosal irritation and a subsequent increased risk of bacterial inoculation. [81]

Rectal cleansing: povidone–iodine solution (a widely available and cost-effective agent) has been studied as a potential rectal cleansing agent. Review of the literature is contradictory with most studies non-blinded or retrospective; however, there is some suggestion that high-risk patients may benefit. [61,82,83]

Methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus (MRSA)
Testing for MRSA is not routinely recommended before prostate biopsy, unless a patient is at high risk. Positive MRSA infection does not affect the decision to carry out a biopsy; however, it may affect the use of antibiotics before or after the biopsy. Please refer to any local infection control guidelines.

Anti-aggregants and anti-coagulants (PICO 2)
Anti-coagulant and anti-aggregant drugs eliminate or reduce the risk of blood clots, using different mechanisms. However, both increase the risk of bleeding.

Common anti-coagulants include: heparin, warfarin (Coumadin), rivaroxaban (Xarelto), dabigatran (Pradaxa), apixaban (Eliquis), edoxaban (Savaysa), enoxaparin (Lovenox) and fondaparinux (Arixtra).

Common anti-aggregant drugs include: aspirin, clopidogrel (Plavix), ticagrelor (Brilinta), prasugrel (Effient), dipyridamole, dipyridamole/aspirin (Aggrenox), ticlodipine (Ticlid), eptifibatide (Integrilin).
The systematic review of the papers defining safe anti-coagulation and anti-platelet levels in TRUS biopsy is incomplete and does not reflect recent changes in prescribing patterns. However the following tables detail both risk groups and current evidence in relation to urological surgery (TRUS biopsy where available).

**Risk groups**

*Anti-coagulants*

Determining a patient’s thrombotic risk (i.e. of stroke or venous thromboembolism; VTE) if anti-coagulants are stopped is not straightforward and local guidelines should be used where available.

**Risk categories of patients using anti-coagulants**

This simple chart (Table 5) outlines risk categories as defined by the UK Pharmacy Association (2016). However local guidelines should be followed in regards to the requirements and dose of bridging therapies, for example, low-molecular weight heparin.

**Table 5. Thrombotic risk categories of patients using anti-coagulants**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lower thrombotic risk</th>
<th>Higher thrombotic risk</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| **Atrial fibrillation (AF)**  
Patients with non-valvular AF and with no additional risk factors | **Atrial fibrillation (AF)**  
Patients with AF and at least one risk factor – prior embolism, transient ischaemic attack (TIA)/stroke, rheumatic heart disease, left ventricular dysfunction (ejection fraction <30%), hypertension, diabetes, age >75 years, or intra-cardiac thrombus |
| **Venous thromboembolism (VTE)**  
Patients receiving anti-coagulants >3 months from scheduled biopsy | **Venous thromboembolism (VTE)**  
Any deep vein thrombosis (DVT)/pulmonary embolism (PE) <3 months prior to biopsy  
Recurrent unprovoked DVT/PE  
Life-threatening PE or past thrombolytic treatment |
| **Prosthetic heart valves**  
Patients with low-risk heart valves, i.e. aortic biovalves in good condition  
(Always discuss with cardiologist first) | **Prosthetic heart valves**  
Older generation mechanical valves and all mitral valves  
Patients who are in AF with heart valves  
(Always check with cardiologist first) |
**Anti-aggregants**
Patients on anti-aggregant therapy for secondary stroke prevention, especially after a recent stroke should continue with aspirin. Withdrawal of anti-aggregants should be avoided within 12 months of patients undergoing drug-eluting or bare-metal stent placement. If biopsy is required, a cardiology opinion should be sought for bridging therapy guidance, and where there are local guidelines, these should be preferentially followed.

**Guidance on use of anti-coagulant, anti-platelet and anti-thrombotic agents pre-biopsy**
The following tables give guidance on the common anti-coagulant, anti-platelet and anti-thrombotic agents with respect to when/if they should be stopped pre-biopsy.

**Anti-coagulants**
Patients in the high-risk group, may require a bridging treatment to their anti-coagulant therapy. Please discuss this with the patient’s prescriber or follow local hospital guidelines.

**Table 6. Which anti-coagulants should be stopped pre-biopsy and when**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Drug</th>
<th>Stop medication Yes/No</th>
<th>When to stop?</th>
<th>Ref.</th>
<th>LE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Warfarin (Coumadin)</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>5–7 days</td>
<td>[85]</td>
<td>1a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heparin</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>3–5 h</td>
<td>[85]</td>
<td>1a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rivaroxaban (Xarelto)</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>48 hours</td>
<td>[85]</td>
<td>1a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dabigatran (Pradaxa)</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>48 hours</td>
<td>[85]</td>
<td>1a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apixaban (Eliquis)</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>48 hours</td>
<td>[85]</td>
<td>1a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Edoxaban (Savaysa)</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>48 hours</td>
<td>[85]</td>
<td>1a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enoxaparin (Lovenox)</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>6 hours</td>
<td>[85]</td>
<td>1a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fondaparinux (Arixtra)</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>48 hours</td>
<td>[85]</td>
<td>1a</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Anti-aggregants (anti-platelets)**
Patients who have had recent or recurrent TIA/stroke should be considered high risk; therefore, advice on stopping medication should be sought from the patient’s prescriber.
Table 7. Which anti-aggregants should be stopped pre-biopsy and when

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Drug</th>
<th>Stop medication</th>
<th>When to stop?</th>
<th>Ref.</th>
<th>LE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Aspirin</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>[86–88]</td>
<td>1b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clopidogrel (Plavix)</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>7 days</td>
<td>[85,87]</td>
<td>1a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prasugrel (Effient)</td>
<td>Do not stop this medication without consulting a prescriber</td>
<td>7 days</td>
<td>[89]</td>
<td>1a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dipyridamole/aspirin (Aggrenox®)</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>3 days</td>
<td>[85]</td>
<td>1a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ticlopidine (Ticlid)</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>7 days</td>
<td>[90]</td>
<td>1a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eptifibatide (Integrilin)</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>2–4 h</td>
<td>[91]</td>
<td>According to pharmacology</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ticagrelor (Brilinta)</td>
<td>Do not stop this medication without consulting a prescriber</td>
<td>3 days</td>
<td>[91]</td>
<td>According to pharmacology</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rivaroxaban or Apixaban</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>2 days</td>
<td>[85]</td>
<td>1a</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.4 DRE at pre-biopsy examination (diagnosis)

DRE pre-biopsy is recommended for several reasons. DRE allows the practitioner to assess the patient’s tolerance of rectal examination and the ultrasound probe. Pre-prostate biopsy studies have also demonstrated that:
- in ~18% of cases, prostate cancer is detected by suspicious DRE alone, irrespective of PSA level. [92]
- abnormal DRE is associated with an increased risk of higher GS and is an indication for biopsy. [93]
6. Transrectal ultrasound and biopsy procedure

It is important that the environment is suitably prepared and all the required equipment is available and checked to be in working order before the procedure is commenced. All team members must be aware of their roles and emergency procedures, including the location of the emergency equipment trolley. All staff must have the ability to contact a senior clinician should the need arise.

In order to avoid a mix-up of prostate biopsy samples, it is preferable to:
- Prepare the labels with bar code in advance. These labels should contain name, date of birth and hospital number of the patient who will undergo the prostate biopsies.
- Before the procedure, ask the patient to state their complete name (first and last name) and date of birth to verify the label is correct
- Stick the labels on the histology cassettes.
- When all biopsies are performed, take the labelled histology cassettes with the biopsies tissue to the pathology department immediately.
- As soon as the cassettes arrive at the pathology department, they have to be scanned and saved in the patient record on the computer.

6.1 Room preparation

A spacious clinical room at a comfortable temperature is required, and should be suitably furnished with flooring and equipment that can be decontaminated if there are any spillages of body fluids. The standard equipment required includes:

- examination couch
- curtains/privacy screen
- ultrasound machine
- ultrasound probe
- sharps bin
- linen skip
- clinical waste bin

The following items should be prepared ready in advance:
- procedure checklist
- consent form (signed and dated by patient and clinician performing biopsy)

Ultrasound preparation
- latex-free condom/sheath
- lubricating jelly
Personal protective equipment
- apron
- gloves

Digital rectal examination
- lubricating jelly

Local anaesthesia administration
- local anaesthetic (according to local policy, see recommendations in 6.6.1)
- appropriate size syringe
- dilution needle
- long spinal needle

Biopsy performing equipment
- single use biopsy device
- needle guide
- specimen pots (labelled accordingly with local policies to identify cores)
- pathology requisition form

Post-biopsy
- wipes/gauze
- antibiotics (according to local policy)

See Figure 8.

Fig. 8 Example of equipment needed for TRUS prostate biopsy
(Courtesy G. De Lauwy)
Emergency equipment should be easily accessible in the rare event of a major complication. This should include:

- oxygen
- suction
- cardiac arrest trolley
- defibrillator
- emergency drugs
- anaphylaxis kit
- monitoring equipment
- intravenous fluids
- intubation equipment

### 6.2 Patient informed consent

Patients should be aware of the potential complications. Before undertaking the procedure the health care professional must seek permission from the patient. This can be either implied or written consent according to local policy. However, for the consent to be valid patients must be competent to make the decision for the investigation to be undertaken. They must have sufficient information to make that decision and not act under duress.

Patients have a fundamental legal and ethical right to determine what happens to their own bodies. Seeking consent is a matter of common courtesy between health care professional and patient. It is not a legal requirement to seek written consent in all countries but it is recognised as good practice, particularly if the procedure comes with significant risks or side effects or the procedure involves regional anaesthesia or sedation.

Informed consent should include [94]

- what the examination involves
- what is its purpose
- what are the risks
- whether the risk is major or minor
- what happens if the examination is not undertaken

However you should familiarise yourself with your local or national consent policy, if there is no policy you might want to consider the implications of your practice as a nurse. [95]

Patients should be made aware of the risk of a false-negative test result and the potential need for repeat biopsy.

The health care professional responsible for carrying out the procedure is ultimately responsible for patient consent for the examination. [60, 61, 62]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Recommendation</th>
<th>LE</th>
<th>GR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Ensure that the patient understands the potential complications of the procedure, including any risk factors specific to them</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
6.3 Transrectal ultrasound

The prostate gland can be visualised with a transrectal probe allowing close-contact scanning. [13] Ultrasound is essential for examining the echotexture and size of the gland and to aid precision biopsy. It is more accurate than DRE in measuring prostate size. [96]

6.3.1 Probe choice and preparation
The ultrasound probe is a dedicated use probe and can vary in frequency between 6 and 9 MHz; the most frequently used being 7.5 MHz. The probe allows visualisation of the prostate in both the transverse and sagittal planes. Probes are end-firing, biplane or both, and there are several designs marketed by different manufacturers. In practice, the design of the probe is not important as full glandular scrutiny is achieved with either design; however, if true anatomical views are required then a biplane probe is essential. [13] The probe is covered preferably with a latex-free condom or probe cover and is decontaminated according to the manufacturer’s recommendations before and after each patient.

6.3.2 Patient positioning
The patient is positioned in the left lateral position ensuring that the knees are bent up towards the chest, or in the lithotomy position. The left lateral position is preferred; particularly with the end-firing probe because imaging of the apex is easier and more comfortable. [13]

6.3.3 Performing a DRE
Immediately before the rectal probe is inserted, DRE should be performed. Particular attention should be paid to the anal tone because a tight sphincter may render the procedure particularly painful. A circumferential examination of the rectum should be
performed, followed by examination of the prostate, which includes size, symmetry on both sides, presence of nodules or induration, tenderness and pain in the prostate. Careful attention should also be paid to exclude the presence of anal pathology such as fissures, haemorrhoids and rectal tumours. If a fissure is present it is unlikely that the patient will tolerate introduction of the probe. If a haemorrhoid is present then care must be taken to avoid puncturing it, otherwise there will be profuse bleeding. If a rectal tumour is felt, the procedure should be abandoned and in all circumstances consideration should be given to colorectal referral.

6.4 Ultrasonic appearance

The sonographic appearance is a combination of the gross and zonal anatomy. The peripheral zone has a homogeneous texture (same level of echoes) throughout and is more echogenic (brighter) than the rest of the gland. The rest of the gland has a heterogeneous texture (different levels of echoes) and poor echo. [13] It is not possible to differentiate between the central and transition zones on TRUS. [13] When scanning the gland the seminal vesicles can be seen from the base in the transverse view and near the lateral in the sagittal view.

The sonographic appearance of the prostate is not specific but there are three ultrasonic findings that may be described as isoechoic (same echogenicity as surrounding tissue), hyperechoic (brighter) or hypoechoic (darker). (Figs. 10 and 11)

![Fig. 10. Hyperechoic areas](image)

(Courtesy: S. Hieronymi)
Fig. 11. Hyperechoic areas
(Courtesy: S. Hieronymi)

Ultrasonic findings:
- isoechoic area could be normal tissue or tumour
- hypoechoic area could be cyst, abscess or tumour
- hyperechoic area could be calcification or tumour

Although these findings are interesting, they should not have any impact on the biopsy procedure or cause additional complications.

6.5 Prostate measurement

It is routine to measure the prostate volume (in g/ml), which may be important in offering treatment options. The prostate is measured in three planes:

In the transverse view: anterior to posterior (width) (1) and height (2); and in the longitudinal plane from the bladder neck to the apex (length) (3). This can be calculated using the formula:

\[ \frac{\Omega}{6} \times \text{height} \times \text{width} \times \text{length} \text{ (in cm)} \]  
(\( \Omega/6 \) may be substituted by 0.51)

Most ultrasound machines will automatically calculate the volume.
6.6 Prostate biopsy

6.6.1 Local anaesthesia
Ultrasound-guided administration of periprostatic nerve block (PPNB) with lidocaine is currently the most reported anaesthetic technique. It is effective in pain control and its effect is immediate, so there is no need to wait to begin the procedure after administering the anaesthetic [97] (LE 1b). To perform PPNB the anaesthetic should be preferentially infiltrated.
into the junction between the prostate and the seminal vesicles bilaterally [97,98] (LE 1b and 2a, respectively).

The use of intrarectal local anaesthetics alone shows less efficacy in pain control. However, combining the use of intrarectal local anaesthetics with PPNB is a safe technique that provides less sensation of pain during the procedure. [99] (LE 1b)

Intrarectal local anaesthesia can be performed with lidocaine gel or with a mixture of 2.5% lidocaine and 2.5% prilocaine. The administration should take place up to 30 min to 1 h before the biopsy [99,100] (LE 1b).

6.6.2 Number and location of prostate cores (PICO 1)

Conventional sextant biopsies were introduced by Hodges et al. in 1989. [101] Later, several studies have shown that this scheme is insufficient for detection of prostate cancer (under-sampling). [102–106] The number of prostate cores to detect prostate cancer has been controversial but concerning initial prostate biopsies, the cancer detection rate is sufficient at 10–12 cores [103,104,107–114]. Additional cores should be obtained from suspect areas by DRE/TRUS. [59] In order to increase the cancer detection rate in a large prostate, additional cores could be obtained, although the results of studies are not consistent concerning the cut-off in prostate volume and the optimal number of prostate biopsies. [102,111,113,115]

Randomised studies have shown that a personalised biopsy core scheme according to age, PSA and prostate volume [116] does not increase the cancer detection rate compared to 8–10-core schemes. [109,117] Saturation prostate biopsies are not recommended in initial setting. [108,114,118] An increase in the number of cores does not affect the capacity of biopsy tumour volume to predict final tumour volume after prostatectomy. [119]

At repeat biopsies, an extended core scheme (>12) could be performed in order to detect prostate cancer [110,120], including transition zone biopsies. [110,115]

Random prostate biopsies are still standard for detecting prostate cancer but evidence is accumulating for MRI target biopsies in addition to random biopsies. [38,59]

Location of 12-core scheme biopsies: apex, middle and base of the right lateral (RL), right medial (RM), left medial (LM) and left lateral (LL) parasagittal planes of the prostate.

![Fig. 14. Example biopsy locations in a 12-core biopsy](Courtesy: S. Hieronymi)
6.7 Acute complications and their management

Although prostate biopsy can be achieved in an outpatient setting, most men experience at least one minor complication following the procedure.

Table 8. Complications and their frequencies [121,122]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Minor</th>
<th>Minor %</th>
<th>Serious</th>
<th>Serious %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Visible haematuria</td>
<td>66.3</td>
<td>Urosepsis</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Haematospermia</td>
<td>38.8</td>
<td>Rectal bleeding requiring intervention</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rectal bleeding</td>
<td>28.4</td>
<td>Acute urinary retention</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vasovagal symptoms</td>
<td>7.7</td>
<td>Transfusion</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Genitourinary tract infection</td>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>Fournier's gangrene</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prostatitis</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>Myocardial infarction</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Epididymitis</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Haematuria is the most frequently seen complication following TRUS-guided biopsy. It normally persists for between 3 and 5 days but is self-limiting. The formation of clots and the development of retention can occur and it is wise to ensure that men have successfully voided before leaving the department.

Minor rectal bleeding is common and usually resolves over the first 48 h. Rectal bleeding requiring intervention is rare. In the majority of cases, inserting a Foley catheter into the rectum, inflating the balloon with up to 50 mL, and using traction to compress the bleeding points is sufficient to achieve haemostatic control. At least this allows one to control the bleeding while summoning assistance. Rarely, colonoscopy or endoscopic sclerotherapy may be required.

Vasovagal symptoms such as sweating, nausea, paleness, dizziness, and hypotension are commonly seen. They are more common in the presence of anxiety or hypoglycaemia. However, these symptoms resolve if the patient is left lying flat or in slight Trendelenburg position. Rarely, intravenous fluids may be required.

Although the data are not always clear, it is likely that TRUS-guided prostate biopsy causes worsening of sexual function at least over the short term (3 months). This appears to be more pronounced for men over the age of 60 years and for those subsequently found to have prostate cancer. [123]
6.8  Patient information on discharge

Patients with a urethral catheter, or those with diabetes, should be closely monitored for signs of sepsis. Patients should be advised on rest, fluid intake, frequency of urination, prophylactic antibiotics and follow-up.

There is no definitive data to confirm that antibiotics for long courses (3 days) are superior to short-course treatments (1 day), or that multiple-dose is superior to single-dose treatment. [124]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Recommendation</th>
<th>LE</th>
<th>GR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Ensure that the patients understand what they must do in case of complications after TRUS biopsy and whom to contact</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
7. Glossary and abbreviations

Glossary

- **Sagittal**  Longitudinal (vertical) plane that divides the body or its parts into right and left portions.
- **Transverse**  A horizontal plane running from left to right separating the body or its parts into inferior or superior parts.
- **Transperineal**  Through, across or beyond the perineum
- **Fusion biopsy**  Biopsy with the use of a detailed 3D ultrasound/ MRI view. MRI images, made beforehand, are fused to the ultrasound image during the biopsy, guiding the biopsy taker to the suspicious areas.

Abbreviations

- **BPE**  benign prostate enlargement
- **DRE**  digital rectal examination
- **EAU**  European Association of Urology
- **EAUN**  European Association of Urology Nurses
- **GG**  Gleason grade
- **GS**  Gleason score
- **ISUP**  International Society of Urological Pathology
- **mpMRI**  multi-parametric magnetic resonance imaging
- **MRI**  magnetic resonance imaging
- **MRSA**  methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus
- **PICO question**  question that describes the four elements of a good clinical question, namely patient/problem, intervention, comparison, outcome
- **PIL**  patient information leaflet
- **PPNB**  periprostatic nerve block
- **PRECISION**  Prospective Randomized Evaluation of Celecoxib Integrated Safety vs. Ibuprofen or Naproxen
- **PSA**  prostate-specific antigen
- **RCT**  randomised controlled trial
- **TIA**  Transient ischemic attack
- **TRUS**  transrectal ultrasound
- **US/MR**  ultrasound/magnetic resonance
8. Other resources

Appendix A.

Transrectal ultrasound guided biopsy of the prostate – Procedure
A complete Transrectal ultrasound and prostate biopsy procedure can be found on the EAUN website adapted from Skills for Health PB2 2008 Performance criteria [125]

The PDF of this document can be found at: www.eaun.uroweb.org/guidelines/

Appendix B.

Transrectal ultrasound guided biopsy of the prostate – Training document
A separate Transrectal ultrasound-guided biopsy of the prostate – Training document can be found on the EAUN website.

The PDF of this document can be found at: www.eaun.uroweb.org/guidelines/

Appendix C.

Anti-coagulant and anti-aggregant use pre prostate biopsy – Guide
A guide on which anti-coagulants and which anti-aggregants should be stopped pre-biopsy and when, can be found on the EAUN website.

The PDF of this document can be found at: www.eaun.uroweb.org/guidelines/
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