

Ranking criteria for scientific committee

Rating Abstracts	
Importance of the topic (0-1 points; 0=no, 1=yes)	
1. The study is explicitly related to nursing	
2. The topic is new/innovative	
Originality of the abstract (0-1 points; 0=no, 1=yes)	
3. Science-oriented: Research gap or rationale is described; Practice-oriented: Need for practice-development is described	
Quality of the abstract (0-1 points; 0=no, 1=yes)	
4. Aim(s) of study / project is / are described	
5. Objective(s) of study / project is / are described	
6. Science-oriented: Research question(s) is / are described; Practice-oriented: Process of project is described	
7. Materials & methods: Science-oriented: study design is described; Practice-oriented: patient population is described	
8. Materials & methods: Science-oriented: sample is described; Practice-oriented: - (0 points)	
9. Materials & methods: setting is described	
10. Materials & methods: Science-oriented: ethical approval is described; Practice-oriented: principles of data protection are described	
11. Science-oriented: Materials & methods: recruiting process is described; Practice-oriented: - (0 points)	
12. Materials & methods: data collection is described	
13. Science-oriented: Materials & methods: Science-oriented: appropriate analysis is described; Practice-oriented: data analysis is described	
14. Results are described	
15. Science-oriented: Conclusion(s) is / are described (What does the results add to the existing knowledge); Practice-oriented: Implications for practice are described	
Implications (0-1 points; 0=no, 1=yes)	
16. Science-oriented: Implications for nursing research are described; Practice-oriented: Potential implications for nursing practice are described	
	Max. 16 points