

Ranking criteria for scientific committee

Rating Abstracts

Science-oriented abstract		Max. 50
Importance of the topic (0-5 points; 0=not fulfilled, 5=totally fulfilled)		
1. The study is explicitly related to nursing		
2. The topic is new/innovative		
Originality of the abstract (0-5 points; 0=not fulfilled, 5=totally fulfilled)		
3. Research gap or rationale is described		
Quality of the abstract (0-5 points; 0=not fulfilled, 5=totally fulfilled)		
4. Aim of study is described		
5. Objective[s] of study is described		
6. Research question(s) is / are described		
7. Material & method (incl. sample, setting, ethical & data collection approval, recruiting process, data collection, appropriate analysis) are described		
8. Results are described		
9. Conclusion is described (What does the results add to the existing knowledge)		
10. Implications for nursing practice are outlined (0-5 points; 0=not fulfilled, 5=totally fulfilled)		

Practice-development oriented abstract		Max 50
Importance of the topic (0-5 points; 0=not fulfilled, 5=totally fulfilled)		
1. The project is explicitly related to nursing		
2. The topic is new/innovative		
Originality of the abstract (0-5 points; 0=not fulfilled, 5=totally fulfilled)		
3. Need for practice-development is described		
Quality of the abstract (0-5 points; 0=not fulfilled, 5=totally fulfilled)		
4. Aim[s] of the project is / are described		
5. Objective[s] of the practice development project is / are described		
6. Processes of the practice development project are described		
7. Materials & methods (patient population, setting, principles of data protection, data collection) are described		
8. Results are described		
9. Implications for practice are described		
10. Potential implications for nursing research are identified		

Ranking criteria for jury

Rating Posters

Science-oriented poster (0-5 points; 0=not fulfilled, 5=totally fulfilled)		Max. 25
Poster structure is detailed (objectives, aims & research question(s), materials & methods, results, conclusions & implications for practice)		
Layout is easy to follow		
Poster design is attractive to reader		
Figures are correctly named		
Contact details are provided		

Practice-development oriented poster (0-5 points; 0=not fulfilled, 5=totally fulfilled)		Max. 25
Poster structure is detailed (objective, aim of practice development project, process, material & method, results, implication for practice)		
Layout is easy to follow		
Poster design is attractive to reader		
Figures are correctly named		
Contact details are provided		

Rating Poster Presentations

Poster presentation (Yes-3; No-0)		Max. 9
The presentation was delivered in the available timeslot		
There are not more than 6 slides presented? (including Title and Conflict of Interest slide)		
The presentation clearly identified the key elements of the topic and associated processes		