																				Stud	y Study	Study	y Study		Study			Study		Study
General	General	General	General	General	General	General	General	General	General	General 6	General	General	General	General	Methodology	How well address	sed (Risk of Bias):		Mo	thodology popu	lation popu	lation popul	lation popul	ation General	population	Interventions	nterventions Outco	mes popula	tion Interventions	Interventions Outcomes population Interventions Interventions Outcomes
															How wall addressed. "- Mandatory "Was the group institution in the trial adequate (Describe the method "Was the group institution in the trial adequate (Describe the method "Was the group institution in the trial adequate in sufficient death in alleus an assessment of whether it should produce organizate groups?" "Did alsocation prevent prediction of the next bearinest group? "Did alsocation prevent prediction of the next bearinest group?" "Did also group those places without the results of the study? Were the nutries assessors (circlinate) blanked by bearinests notineed? Were the nutries assessors (circlinate) blanked by bearinests notineed? Was any group treade differently other than by the intervention? Commentative the Accurace currickly defined and resource of an standard						,,,									
															CommentWere any important outcome measures omitted?															
														Include in	Was an intention to treat analysis done?															
# No.				Extraction	Level of						Primary			Metanalyse	Was the study stopped early?						Name			Length of						
Covider	ce Authors	Title	Year	performed by	Evidence	Study design	Source/Journal	Weblink	Related Study	Study Question (Outcome			(Yes/No)	Was a power calculation performed?	Low Risk	High Risk	Not clear N/	A Fur	nding Cour	try centr	es Inclus	sion Crit Exclus	ion Crit Follow up	Pat.Charact.	Arm A	iample size Am B	Sample	Size Outcome	
													1.Study states there is uncertainty regarding patient compliance with oral																	
													intake of prophylactic antibiotics as prescribed. 2. Some of the infection															Patient	s who d a single The 2 groups were similar in term	
													complications may not have been related to prostate biopsy.													Patients who			d a single. The 2 groups were similar in term scular of mean age, indication for biopsy	
													COMMENT CORINNE: WE CANNOT INCLUDE THIS STUDY FOR PICC 3!!! The aim of this study was not to evaluate the information given to	0												received one			scular of mean age, indication for biopsy icin prostate volume, number of cores	
												and readily available in daily practice and has good compliance for patient use.	3!!! The aim of this study was not to evaluate the information given to patient. No data about the information given to patient or about adherence													oral dose of		inicetion	n (80mg) taken and cormorbidites. Infection	
		Adding gentamicin to									effectiveness of	compliance for patient use.	to prophylactic antibiotics. Only one sentence/comment in the discussion													levofloxacin			ites related complications occured in I	
		fluoroguinolone-base									adding		which suggest the uncertainty of compliance of prophylactic antibiotics.									Patien	nts who			(500mg) daily 2			biopsy in out of the 129 group and 1 out of	
	Hsieh et al.	antimicrobial prophyl	daxis							9	gentamicin to a		micri suggest the discriminal or compliance or propriyation announce.									receiv	ved a Patien	ts who did		days before the		additon	to the 134 group. The addition of	
		reduces transrectal									fluroquinolone-											Shan TRUS	s not re	eive		biopsy, on the			ral gentamicin was beneficial in	
	See also	ultrasound-guided									based										Medic		ate biopsy levofic			day of biopsy			acin improving the efficacy of	
	Complications	prostate biopsy-relat				Retrospective		http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.uro	<u>면</u>		prophylaxis										Unive		en Jan prophj			and for 2 days		protoco	as Arm fluroquinolone and reducing post	
3287	excel	infection rate	2016	Corinne, Kaljit	High	study	Urology Science 2015	s.2015.04.008			regimen									Taiwa	n Hospi	tal 2008-	-Aug 2011. antibio	tic		after the biopsy	129	134 A patier	nts biopsy infection rate.	
												TRUS prostate biopsy PEM adhere poorly to	Study indicates that urology community can construct better websites by																	
												guidelines for easy to understand materials. Most	consulting PEM advisory materials, providing non-technical language,																	
										1	To evaluate the	PEM lack vital information and are writen at too	figures and specific instructions																	
											accuracy, readability,	complex of a reading for pateint comprehension											***	tes that						
											reacability, understandability													ies mai luplicates.						
		Systematic Assessm	ment								and actionability											Good	(e, Bing and advert						TRUS prostate biopsy PEM adhe	
		Reveals Lack of									of internet												o - the 3 inacce						poorly to guidelines for easy-to-	
		Understandability for	r								pateint education												commonly interna						understand materials. Most PEM	
		Prostate Biopsy Onli	ine			Systematic					materials (PEM)												search primar						lack vital information and are writt	
		Patient Education				Assessment -		http://dx.doi.org/doi:10.1016/j.	ß.		about TRUS											engine	es were based	were					too complex of a reading level for	
3313	Maciolek et al	Materials.	2017	Corinne, Kaljit		Internet search	Manuscript	urology.2017.07.037			prostate biopsy			Yes						US		used.	exclud	ed					patient comprehension.	
										No clear																				
										question, Only a																				
										goal discribed.																				
										How the PIL play																				
										a role in																				
										preparing men for TRUS N	Men reported																			
1										prostate biopsy a																				
1										in their a	associated with																			
1		Role of information in	in							experiences, 1	TRUS-Bx or its		Limitations: not clear how many patient get the PIL and how many didn't get																	
1		preparing men for											it. So we don't know how homogenic were the groups. If you have only 10												Mean age 63,	š.				
1		transrectal ultrasoun											patients who didn't get the PIL how can you make a conclusion. Other												to Employment				Men reported anxiety associated	
1		guided prostate biopp	ppsy:								if they felt	well-prepared they were hd potentially more	limitations: only white people were included, some interviews took place	is low (qualitati	ve									few months	. No status (most a	re			with TRUS-Bx or its side effects	
		a qualitative study						https://joannabriggs.org/asset	<u> </u>				several months after TRUS-Bx, education status were not collected. Type												e working full tin				most commonly if they felt	
		embedded in the Pro	otecT		Low - patient		BMC Health Serv Res 2015:15.	sidocs/approach/JBI-Levels-	=						s, well adressed to propose an up to date comprehensive evidence based set of								undergoing		llow or not working				inadequately prepared for the	
94	Wade et al.	trial	2015	Corinne Tillier	interview.		BMC Health Serv Res 2015;15.	of-evidence_2014.pdf		symptoms? p	procedure	sequiae	(more face to face-62 pat. than phone 23 pat.)	it is a good stu	dy information for men undergoing TRUS-Bx		High Risk		No	ne UK	Multi-	centers (8) TRUS	S-Bx Not m	entioned up in this st	tudy Ethnicity white		15 patients		procedure	
1										a role in																				
1										preparing men																				
1										for TRUS 1	Men reported																			
1										prostate biopsy a																				
1											associated with																			
1		Role of information in	in							experiences, 1			Limitations: not clear how many patient get the PIL and how many didn't get																	
1		preparing men for											it. So we don't know how homogenic were the groups. If you have only 10												Mean age 63,	š.				
1		transrectal ultrasoun											patients who didn't get the PIL how can you make a conclusion. Other												to Employment				Men reported anxiety associated	
1		guided prostate biopp	opsy:										limitations: only white people were included, some interviews took place												. No status (most a				with TRUS-Bx or its side effects	
1		a qualitative study						https://joannabriggs.org/asse/	et.				several months after TRUS-Bx, education status were not collected. Type												e working full tim				most commonly if they felt	
	Wade et al.	embedded in the Pro			Low - patient		BMC Health Serv Res 2015-15	s/docs/approach/JBI-Levels- of-evidence 2014 ndf	=	problematic p symptoms? p			of interview different (face to face and phone). Groups are disbalanced (more face to face-62 pat, than phone 23 pat.)		well adressed to propose an up to date comprehensive evidence based set o				No	on III	** **		undergoing	frame of to entioned up in this st	llow or not working		15 nationts		inadequately prepared for the	
94	wade et al.	trize	2015	Joey Ancheta	interview.		BMU Heam Serv Res 2015;15.	or-evidence_2014.pdf		symptoms? p	procedure	sequae	(more race to race-62 par. than phone 23 par.)	yes	information for men undergoing TRUS-Bx		High Kital		No	ne UK	Multi-	centers (8) TRUS	s-ex Not m	emooned up in this st	tudy Ethnicity white		to patients		procedure	