

## Ranking criteria for scientific committee

<b>Rating Abstracts</b>	
<b>Importance of the topic (0-1 points; 0=no, 1=yes)</b>	
1. The study is explicitly related to nursing	
2. The topic is new/innovative	
<b>Originality of the abstract (0-1 points; 0=no, 1=yes)</b>	
3. <b>Science-oriented:</b> Research gap or rationale is described; <b>Practice-oriented:</b> Need for practice-development is described	
<b>Quality of the abstract (0-1 points; 0=no, 1=yes)</b>	
4. Aim(s) of study / project is / are described	
5. Objective(s) of study / project is / are described	
6. <b>Science-oriented:</b> Research question(s) is / are described; <b>Practice-oriented:</b> Process of project is described	
7. Materials & methods: <b>Science-oriented:</b> study design is described; <b>Practice-oriented:</b> patient population is described	
8. Materials & methods: <b>Science-oriented:</b> sample is described; <b>Practice-oriented:</b> - (0 points)	
9. Materials & methods: setting is described	
10. Materials & methods: <b>Science-oriented:</b> ethical approval is described; <b>Practice-oriented:</b> principles of data protection are described	
11. <b>Science-oriented:</b> Materials & methods: recruiting process is described; <b>Practice-oriented:</b> - (0 points)	
12. Materials & methods: data collection is described	
13. <b>Science-oriented:</b> Materials & methods: <b>Science-oriented:</b> appropriate analysis is described; <b>Practice-oriented:</b> data analysis is described	
14. Results are described	
15. <b>Science-oriented:</b> Conclusion(s) is / are described (What does the results add to the existing knowledge); <b>Practice-oriented:</b> Implications for practice are described	
<b>Implications (0-1 points; 0=no, 1=yes)</b>	
16. <b>Science-oriented:</b> Implications for nursing practice are described; <b>Practice-oriented:</b> Potential implications for nursing research are described	
	Max. 16 points